

## Review

# Pollen selection

J.I. Hormaza<sup>1,\*</sup> and M. Herrero<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Mediterranean Agronomical Institute of Zaragoza (CIHEAM), Apartado 202, Campus de Aula Dei, E-50080 Zaragoza, Spain

<sup>2</sup> Pomology Unit, SIA-DGA, Apartado 727, Campus de Aula Dei, E-50080 Zaragoza, Spain

Received September 10, 1990; Accepted March 25, 1991

Communicated by H. F. Linskens

### Contents

1. Introduction
2. Competition among pollen grains: gametophytic selection in nature?
3. Requirements for gametophytic selection
  - 3.1 Overlap in the genetic expression between the sporophyte and gametophyte
    - 3.1.1 Isozyme expression
    - 3.1.2 Nucleic acid overlap
  - 3.2 Correlation between sporophytic and gametophytic responses to external factors
    - 3.2.1 Temperature
    - 3.2.2 Salinity and osmotic pressure
    - 3.2.3 Metals
    - 3.2.4 Herbicides and other toxic compounds
4. Pollen selection and practical plant breeding
5. Synopsis
6. Literature

**Summary.** In recent years a large number of reports have been published hinting about the possible role of selection at the male gametophyte level in natural conditions and its possible use as a tool in plant breeding programs. In this work, the evidence that has so far emerged on gametophytic selection is reviewed, and its relationship to pollen competition under natural conditions is analyzed. Information supporting an overlap in the genetic expression between the gametophytic and sporophytic phases is also reported, mainly in terms of isozymes and RNA hybridization. The correspondence between both phases is reviewed through their common response to different external agents such as temperature, salinity, metals, herbicides, fungal toxins, and other factors. Finally, the implications that pollen selection may have in plant breeding are evaluated.

**Key words:** Gametophyte – Pollen – Selection – Haploids

### 1. Introduction

A general phenomenon in plants is that they have two phases, sporophytic or diploid and gametophytic or haploid, which alternate in the life cycle. However, if we move forward along the evolutionary line, we can see that the gametophytic phase is diminishing in terms of both size and length compared to the sporophytic phase (see Heslop-Harrison 1979 for a review). The reduction in the gametophytic phase is maximum in angiosperms, where the male gametophyte consists of three haploid nuclei and the female gametophyte of six haploid cells plus a binucleate cell.

Research in plant breeding has been directed towards the sporophytic phase, where we can observe the outcome in angiosperms. The influence of the gametophytic phase is often forgotten, usually playing a “passive” role in most plant breeding methods. There are, however, many reports that deal with the physiology of the angiosperm gametophyte from pollen germination and tube growth up to fertilization (for reviews see Knox 1984; Mascarenhas 1989, 1990). It has only been in the 1970s that the possibility of gametophytic selection in plant breeding was put forward. The key moment was in 1979 when Mulcahy suggested the importance of gametophytic selection in the evolutionary progress of angiosperms: insect pollination and closed carpels could enhance the selection pressure against the male gametophyte. Since then, the use of gametophytic selection in plant breeding has developed, first by studying the overlap in the genetic expression between the sporophyte and the gametophyte and, later, by assessing the similarity in behavior between

\* Current address: Department of Pomology, University of California, Davis, CA 95616, USA

the two phases in relation to different external stresses (Mulcahy 1984; Ottaviano and Mulcahy 1989; Ottaviano et al. 1990).

The basis of the gametophytic selection theory is that selection among haploid and heterogeneous male gametophytes could be positively correlated with changes in the following sporophytic generation (Mulcahy 1979; Hoekstra 1983; Ottaviano et al. 1988 b, 1990; Ottaviano and Mulcahy 1989). Therefore, pollen would not only act as a transmission vector for the genome, but also be an independent organism expressing its own genetic information.

If we look at two features of the male gametophyte such as large population size (Miller and Mulcahy 1983; Frankel and Galun 1977; Ottaviano and Mulcahy 1986, 1989; Ottaviano et al. 1990), and direct expression of recessive traits due to haploidy (Zamir 1983; Ottaviano and Mulcahy 1989; Ottaviano et al. 1990), we find that selection in the haploid phase may actually be more intense than in the diploid phase (Ottaviano et al. 1988 b, c, 1990; Ottaviano and Mulcahy 1989). These features are also common to microorganisms, which have the greatest adaptability of all living organisms (Mulcahy and Mulcahy 1987).

If each phase of the plant life cycle expresses its own genotype, then selection for a specific genotype in pollen would lead to changes in the next gametophytic generation. However, if some of the genes are expressed in both phases, gametophytic selection could be an excellent way to improve the selection pressure applied by the plant breeder (Mulcahy et al. 1979; Miller and Mulcahy 1983; Ottaviano and Mulcahy 1989; Landi et al. 1989).

Thus, the challenge is to determine whether or not the gametophytic phase can be used effectively in selection methods (Linskens and Campbell 1984; Landi et al. 1989). Here, the evidence for gametophytic selection occurring in natural conditions is reviewed, and its possible use as a tool in plant breeding strategies is discussed.

## 2. Competition among pollen grains: gametophytic selection in nature?

Is there any evidence of selection in the male gametophyte operating under natural conditions? Competition among pollen grains could lead to pollen selection in vivo (Mulcahy and Mulcahy 1987). The evidence we have so far is indirect, and is based on both unexpected segregation according to Mendelian ratios and correlation between pollen competitive ability and sporophytic vigor.

Competition among pollen grains on the same stigma has long been tacitly assumed (Johnson and Mulcahy 1978; Stephenson and Bertin 1983). However, in the last few years the implications of this competition in determining the characters of the next generation have been

reexamined. Under intense pollen competition unexpected segregation for different genetic traits is obtained (Mulcahy and Kaplan 1979; Sari-Gorla and Rovida 1980; Zamir et al. 1982; Wendel et al. 1987; Pedersen 1988; Robert et al. 1989). While this fact has been repeatedly observed, there is no clear explanation of how it is produced. Since there is no male genotype that performs better in all crosses, it may be due to a selective action induced by the pistils, which lead to different kinds of pollen-pistil interactions within the crosses (Pedersen 1988; Waser et al. 1987).

Likewise, under intense pollen competition, a decrease in genetic variability of the offspring occurs, leading to a lower frequency of the extreme genotypes (Willson and Burley 1983; Schlichting et al. 1987). There is substantial information supporting this view in several species: *Gossypium*, *Vigna*, and *Triticum* (Ter-Avanesian 1978); *Dianthus chinensis* (Mc Kenna and Mulcahy 1983); *Raphanus raphanistrum* (Mazer et al. 1986); and *Cucurbita pepo* (Winsor et al. 1987; Davis et al. 1987).

Furthermore, a number of reports have demonstrated a positive correlation between pollen competition ability, evaluated mainly through pollen tube growth rate, and several sporophytic traits such as: seed dry weight, seedling weight, number of seeds per fruit, and root growth (Mulcahy 1971, 1974, 1979; Ottaviano et al. 1980; Snow 1986; Winsor et al. 1987). All these reports have as a common factor the fact that the higher the pollen tube growth rate, the more vigorous the offspring. Thus, genes that affect sporophytic vigor could also be affecting pollen tube growth or, in other words, pollen tube growth and several sporophytic traits would be related genetically.

While it is relatively easy to understand gametophytic selection for a single locus, it is more difficult to do so for quantitative traits under polygenic control. Several reports have suggested that pollen tube growth is under the control of polygenic systems (Mulcahy 1974; Ottaviano et al. 1982; Wendel et al. 1987; Sarr et al. 1988). If the rate of pollen tube growth is controlled by several genes, each with a small individual effect, it would be difficult to find such genes using single genetic markers, unless a great number of descendants are studied (Mulcahy and Kaplan 1979).

Pollen competition could take place at two levels: either through a direct competition among haploid gametophytes (Willson and Burley 1983) or through an interaction between the haploid and diploid genes (Mulcahy 1979). Direct competition between gametophytes could be of two kinds: either a physical competition based on the rate of pollen tube growth (Mulcahy et al. 1983; Lee 1984), or a chemical competition based on pollen inhibition. However, while this allelopathic phenomenon has been recorded on an intergeneric basis in the genus *Parthenium*, where pollen grains contain germi-

nation and growth inhibitors for pollen of other genera (Kanchan and Jayachandra 1980), it is unknown whether or not this phenomenon operates on an intraspecific basis.

The idea of an interaction between the male and the female tissue is reasonable. Pollen tube kinetics are affected by the microenvironment generated in the pistil (Herrero and Arbeloa 1989), so that the growth of particular pollen tubes would be favored giving them an advantage in achieving fertilization (Shivanna and Shivanna 1985; Hill and Lord 1987). This could explain the variations found in the progeny in relation to the female parent used (Pedersen 1988; Sarr et al. 1988).

In natural conditions, plants could show a trend towards the enhancement of pollen competition by increasing the style length (Mulcahy 1971; Mulcahy and Mulcahy 1975; Ottaviano et al. 1982, 1988c), or by increasing the period of time between the arrival of pollen grains on the stigma and fertilization (Frankel and Galun 1977; Willson and Burley 1983; Stephenson and Bertin 1983; Epperson and Clegg 1987; Ottaviano et al. 1988b). However, the evidence we have so far is mainly indirect and circumstantial, although it may hint that gametophytic selection does actually operate in natural conditions.

### 3. Requirements for gametophytic selection

The main evidence in favor of gametophytic selection is based on two facts: one, that there is an overlap in genetic expression between the diploid and haploid phases; and two, that there is a correlation in behavior of the haploid and diploid phases in response to different external agents.

#### 3.1 Overlap in the genetic expression between the sporophyte and gametophyte

One of the main requirements for the utilization of gametophytic selection in plant breeding is the necessity of an overlap in the genetic expression between the haploid and diploid generations.

Basically, we can find three kinds of genes, according to their expression in the two phases: (1) genes expressed only in the sporophyte (diploid expression); (2) genes expressed only in the gametophyte (haploid expression); (3) genes expressed in both gametophyte and sporophyte (haplodiploid expression). The third group contains the genes suitable for gametophytic selection. Actually, this could also be extended to the genes expressed only in the sporophyte, but which are closely linked to genes expressed in the gametophyte.

During the last few years, this overlap has been studied at two levels: with isozymes and with nucleic acids.

**3.1.1 Isozyme expression.** Initial work in this field was carried out by comparing the genetic expression of single enzymes in the two phases of the plant life cycle, but without considering the possibility of a gametophytic selection. One of the first studies pointed out that the activity of alcohol-dehydrogenase in maize (*Zea mays*) is expressed both in the sporophytic and gametophytic phases (Schwartz 1971; Schwartz and Osterman 1976).

Later, studies began to compare the genetic expression of different isozyme groups between the two phases. The initial research in this direction was limited to comparative studies of the expression of specific enzymes of the diploid and the haploid phases; overlap between both phases was found. There is no doubt that the key moment was in 1981 when Tanksley et al. published their work on tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum*) isozymes. They looked at 9 genes and concluded that 62% of the isozymes studied were expressed in the gametophyte, 58% were expressed in both phases, and 3% only in the pollen grains.

Further work, with different enzymatic groups and different plant species, supports these initial results. The overlap rates seem to be very similar. For example, 72% in *Zea mays* (Sari-Gorla et al. 1986a, b), 81% in *Prunus* (Weeden 1986), 60% in barley (Pedersen et al. 1987), and between 74 and 80% in *Populus* (Rajora and Zsuffa 1986).

**3.1.2 Nucleic acid overlap.** Initial work in this field was restricted to RNA studies. It was shown that a part of the pollen mRNAs are presynthesized in the sporophytic phase; these mRNA are useful during the development of the pollen grain and the beginning of the pollen tube growth (Mascarenhas and Bashe 1984).

Later, the hybridization between mRNAs synthesized by the gametophyte and cDNAs from the sporophyte was studied in *Tradescantia paludosa* (Willing and Mascarenhas 1984; Mascarenhas et al. 1986; Stinson et al. 1987). These authors found about 30,000 different mRNAs in the sporophyte tissues and 20,000 in the gametophyte. Hybridization results confirm those of the isozymes: about a 60% of the sequences analyzed were expressed in both gametophytic and sporophytic tissues. However, these studies were done using only shoot tissue from the sporophyte; therefore, by studying additional diploid tissues, the percentage of overlap would presumably be increased. Willing et al. (1988) pursued their studies with pollen and shoot tissues of *Zea mays*, and the results were very similar to those using *Tradescantia* (Mascarenhas et al. 1989; for a review, see also Mascarenhas 1989). An overlap of genetic expression in both gametophytic and sporophytic tissues has also been demonstrated by means of tissue localization of transcripts specified by particular cDNA clones in tomato (Ursin et al. 1989).

Recent evidence indicates that there may also be an overlap in the composition of particular chemicals. This may open the possibility of using pollen selection for lipid quality in seeds based on significant correlation, for linoleic and linolenic acids, found in pollen and seeds of *Brassica* (Evans et al. 1987, 1988). In addition, glucosinolates have been also found in *Brassica* pollen (Dungey et al. 1988). These authors pointed out the possibility of its use for pollen selection, but further studies are necessary to have a clear picture of the correlation between the amounts of glucosinolates in pollen and seeds.

All the above results are supported by the use of mutants. Meinke (1982) studied embryo-lethal mutants in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. The mutant gene is expressed not only during embryogenesis, but also prior to fertilization: either during pollen grain development or during pollen tube growth. Consequently, the gene is expressed in both gametophytic and sporophytic tissues, and abnormalities during the sporophytic development could be eliminated through gametophytic competition.

In maize (Ottaviano et al. 1988a), a set of 32 endosperm defective mutants (*de* mutants) was analyzed. The mutants represented 32 different genes controlling endosperm development. Segregation frequencies in some F<sub>2</sub> individuals were not as expected, and the authors deduced that 22 (60%) of these genes could affect microspore development or pollen tube growth.

All of these experiments, while having different approaches, appear to indicate that an overlap occurs in the genetic expression of the sporophyte and the gametophyte.

### 3.2 Correlation between sporophytic and gametophytic responses to external factors

While it is clear that there is a large overlap between the genetic expression at the molecular level in gametophytic and sporophytic tissues, it is necessary to see if this is followed by phenotypic expression in both phases. Indirect evidence is obtained through an analysis of the behavior of the gametophytic and sporophytic phases in relation to different external agents.

**3.2.1 Temperature.** Early studies in this field were carried out by Zamir et al. in 1981, demonstrating the higher competitive ability of pollen from *Lycopersicon hirsutum*, a plant that lives at high altitudes in the Peruvian Andes, compared to that of *Lycopersicon esculentum* grown under cold conditions. However, they were not able to determine at that time whether pollen cold tolerance is dependent on the haploid genome or whether the diploid genome conditions the gametophytic response against cold stress. Further work (Zamir et al. 1982) concluded that the effect was due to the haploid genome, and demonstrated that gametes with a particular chromosomal seg-

ment from *L. hirsutum* were more successful in fertilization at low temperatures. Furthermore, they showed that some genes responsible for cold tolerance were located in a small portion of the haploid genome linked to specific isozymes. Moreover, it was demonstrated (Zamir and Vallejos 1983) that the selection pressure was higher during pollen tube growth than during pollen grain formation. Finally, offspring of *Lycopersicon* from crosses carried out at low temperatures showed better cold adaptation, evaluated by root growth, than offspring from crosses at the control temperature.

However, other studies, also using *Lycopersicon*, showed a possible discrepancy (Maisonneuve and Den Nijs 1984; Den Nijs et al. 1986), since there were no differences in response to cold temperatures between gametophytes and sporophytes of *Lycopersicon esculentum*. The difference in these results could lie in the experimental methods used (Zamir and Gadish 1987). A similar situation appears in *Prunus*, where differences in chilling requirements among sporophytes from the same species do not correlate with the behavior of the male gametophytes; however, differences are observed between two different *Prunus* species with different cold requirements: *Prunus dulcis* and *Prunus persica* (Weinbaum et al. 1984).

In spite of those discrepancies, there are several species in which significant correlation between gametophyte and sporophyte behavior, in relation to thermic stress, has been verified. For instance: in *Zea mays* (Frova et al. 1986), *Juglans* (Luza et al. 1987), *Eucalyptus* (Marien 1988), *Pistacia vera* (Polito et al. 1988), *Gossypium hirsutum* (Rodríguez-Garay and Barrow 1988), and *Medicago sativa* (Mulinix and Iezzoni 1987). Barnabás and Kovács (1988) suggested that low temperature storage of maize pollen could be used as a treatment in selecting pollen populations for cold tolerance, since seeds set by treated pollen showed a higher germination rate under low temperatures than seeds set by untreated pollen.

In an attempt to understand the mechanism for an overlap in the expression of heat tolerance, some work has looked at its relationship to heat shock proteins (HSP). The tissues of some plant species respond to high temperatures by synthesizing special proteins (heat shock proteins) that protect the cells against thermic stress. Early studies in this field showed no HSP in pollen from *Tradescantia paludosa* (Mascarenhas and Altschuler 1983), although thermotolerance was achieved by the germinating pollen grains (Xiao and Mascarenhas 1985). Later, HSP synthesis was found in immature but not in mature pollen from *Zea mays* (Frova et al. 1986, 1989) and in mature pollen from *Nicotiana tabacum* (van Herpen et al. 1988, 1989), *Lilium longiflorum* (van Herpen et al. 1989), and *Sorghum bicolor* (Frova et al. 1991); in *Sorghum*, two kinds of HSPs are expressed: those com-

mon to the sporophyte and those expressed only in the gametophyte.

Taken together, these works suggest that to achieve clear results it is probably necessary to have wide genetic variation in the character to be selected. On the other hand, further research is needed to understand the physiological mechanisms underlying the gametophyte-sporophyte behavior at different temperatures.

**3.2.2 Salinity and osmotic pressure.** Little work has been done in this field. One of the first pieces of indirect evidence on this subject was the work by Eisikowitch and Woodell in 1975 with *Armeria maritima*. In this coastal plant, the pollen grains from a population that lived on a beach were more resistant to sea water than those from another population located farther from the coast.

Later, Sacher et al. (1983) crossed *Lycopersicon sculentum* (salinity nontolerant) with *Solanum penellii* (salinity tolerant). Plants of the F<sub>1</sub> grown under saline conditions showed higher salt concentration in anthers and style than those cultivated under normal conditions. The F<sub>2</sub> plants originated from saline self-pollination of the F<sub>1</sub> had a higher dry weight grown under both saline and normal conditions. The results suggest that fertilization under salt stress can increase not only the resistance to salinity but also the mean vigor of the plants. The authors attributed this to competition among pollen grains, assuming that competition is enhanced under salt conditions and that only the most vigorous gametophytes can fertilize the ovule. With the same two species, but using isozymes as genetic markers, Sari-Gorla et al. (1988) observed that F<sub>2</sub> plants, from plants grown in the presence of salt, showed, if compared with a control F<sub>2</sub> population, a significant deviation in favor of the tolerant parent allele for two studied loci. Later, and also in *Lycopersicon*, studies have been carried out in relation to osmotic stress (Mulcahy et al. 1988); their conclusion is that varieties tolerant to an osmotic stress, studied at the seedling stage, produce pollen that is, in its turn, more tolerant to such stress than pollen from nontolerant varieties.

Although little work has been done so far in this field, it looks to be a very interesting subject for future research from a plant breeding point of view.

**3.2.3 Metals.** Initial studies consisted of crosses between clones of *Silene dioica* zinc tolerant, *Silene alba* zinc nontolerant, and *Mimulus guttatus* copper tolerant and nontolerant. It was determined that pollen from tolerant plants was able to germinate and grow at metal concentrations that clearly inhibited the germination and growth of the pollen from nontolerant plants. On the other hand, no differences were found in the behavior between binucleate (*Mimulus*) and trinucleate (*Silene*)

pollen in relation to metal tolerance (Searcy and Mulcahy 1985a).

With the same plant material, further studies (Searcy and Mulcahy 1985b) were developed to look at the behavior of pollen at the stigma. Flowers from plants watered with a solution that contained copper or zinc and from plants watered with a control solution were pollinated with pollen from metal tolerant and metal nontolerant plants. The results indicated that, although there was no difference in the pollen tube growth rate, there were significant differences in the number of fertilized ovules and viable seeds. While these authors explained that the lack of difference in pollen tube growth could be due to the small increase in metal concentration in the style, it is still not clear how the differences in number of viable seeds are produced. Later work (Searcy and Mulcahy 1985c) indicated that the metal tolerance in pollen from *Mimulus guttatus* is controlled by the gametophyte genome, and it seems that a small number of genes are involved (Searcy and Mulcahy 1986).

Correlation between sporophyte and gametophyte was also found for aluminum tolerance in *Lycopersicon sculentum* (Searcy and Mulcahy 1990): germination of pollen from aluminum sensitive cultivars is reduced in the presence of the metal but not that of pollen from aluminum resistant cultivars.

Work in gymnosperms, *Pinus silvestris* and *Pinus nigra* (Holub and Zelenakova 1986), further support overlap for metal tolerance. Pollen from plants living in places with high lead concentration germinated better and had higher pollen tube growth on a medium containing lead than pollen from plants living in places with low lead concentration.

**3.2.4 Herbicides and other toxic compounds.** Interesting studies in relation to gametophytic selection began in 1973, when it was noticed in *Zea mays* that sporophytic susceptibility or resistance to the fungus *Helminthosporium maydis* can be predicted by measuring the pollen response to the toxin produced by the pathogen (Laughnan and Gabay 1973). After several years without progress in this field, studies were carried out with *Brassica* and *Alternaria brassicicola* (Hodgkin and Mc Donald 1986). The authors found that male gametophytes from *Brassica* fungus susceptible plants were also affected by the toxic compounds extracted from a culture of *Alternaria brassicicola*. This work was pursued (Hodgkin 1988, 1990) using pollen from resistant *Brassica* specimens to pollinate a susceptible variety, with both pollen selected and nonselected for resistance to the fungal toxin. Hodgkin concluded that, in the F<sub>1</sub>, pollen from plants descended from selected pollen was more resistant to the toxin than pollen from plants descended from nonselected pollen. Bino et al. (1988b) also found that germination of pollen from different *Lycopersicon* species sensi-

tive to *Alternaria alternata* was lower, on a medium with the toxin, than that of pollen from resistant plants, while pollen germination from species not susceptible to the fungus was unaffected by the toxin.

Similar experiments have been carried out with other toxic compounds. Pollen from sugar beet plants (*Beta vulgaris*) resistant to a herbicide (ethofumesate) germinates better on a medium containing the herbicide than pollen from nonresistant plants (Smith and Moser 1985; Smith 1986). With the herbicide chlorsulfuron the results appear similar (Sari-Gorla et al. 1989): when maize pollen is exposed to the herbicide, the resultant progeny exhibit significantly greater tolerance to the herbicide than progeny from untreated pollen.

Another toxic compound studied in this way is ozone. In *Nicotiana tabacum* and in *Petunia hybrida*, it was found (Feder and Sullivan 1969; Feder 1986) that pollen germination and pollen tube growth were lower after exposing the male gametophyte to high ozone concentrations in vitro, but that the response was variable depending on the origin of the pollen grains: pollen from sporophytes susceptible to ozone was more affected than those from less susceptible sporophytes.

Further evidence of this correlation is found in resistance to antibiotics. Bino et al. (1987) studied the influence of kanamycin on pollen germination and pollen tube growth, using tomato plants sensitive to kanamycin and transgenic tomato plants resistant to the antibiotic. Although pollen germination was not affected by kanamycin, pollen from transgenic plants had significantly greater tube growth than that of normal plants. Bino and Stephenson (1988) presented similar results with tomato plants sensible to hygromycin and transgenic tomato plants resistant to that compound.

#### 4. Pollen selection and practical plant breeding

While it is clear that an overlap exists in genetic expression and in the response to different environmental parameters between the sporophyte and the gametophyte, the application of gametophytic selection in plant breeding is still uncommon. One of the reasons is technical difficulty. The use of gametophytic selection as an efficient tool in plant breeding requires that pollen, which has been exposed to a particular treatment, can be effectively recovered and be capable of achieving fertilization.

Recently, several techniques have been used to attempt to recover the selected pollen. Among the most interesting methods are those that separate germinated and ungerminated pollen based on differences in density, since the larger the pollen tube the lower the density, as density gradient in liquid column (Mulcahy et al. 1988) and pollen centrifugation (Hodgkin 1988; Bino et al. 1988a). Flow cytometry (Marien 1988) or nuclear mag-

netic resonance with  $^{31}\text{P}$  (Ladyman and Taylor 1988) may also prove useful in separating these two populations of pollen grains. All these methods are fairly recent and further work needs to be done to make a wider use of these techniques. Separation methods should be innocuous to the male gametophytes, however, a decrease in the in vivo performance is generally observed. To overcome this behavior, it could be useful to achieve fertilization in vitro (Raman et al. 1980) or pollination could be done using cut styles (Hodgkin 1987, 1988). This technique has proved to be valuable when pregerminated pollen, in the presence of fungal toxins, was unable to penetrate the stigma.

Alternatively, instead of applying the selective agent only to the pollen, the whole plant could be grown under selective pressure. While this method appears rigorous it has yielded results. Plants (*Lycopersicon* × *Solanum*) grown in a saline environment have produced plants that have an offspring with a higher dry weight when cultivated in saline conditions (Sacher et al. 1983). Likewise, experiments with *Lycopersicon* (Zamir and Vallejos 1983; Zamir and Gadish 1987) have shown that progeny from crosses made at low temperatures had better cold adaptation than progeny from crosses at normal temperatures.

Selective agents can also be placed on the stigma. While a negative response to fusaric acid was obtained with this technique in *Nicotiana* (Simon and Sandford 1986), the method has proved valuable in maize in selecting against the herbicide chlorsulfuron (Sari-Gorla et al. 1989). A positive response was also observed in the progeny if the pollen developed in tassels that had been submerged in a solution of the herbicide.

While transmission of selected traits from the gametophytic generation has been efficiently demonstrated for salt tolerance (Sacher et al. 1983), cold tolerance (Zamir and Vallejos 1983; Zamir and Gadish 1987), resistance to fungal toxins (Hodgkin 1988), or resistance to herbicides (Sari-Gorla et al. 1989), there is little experimental evidence of the transmission of the selected traits to the next generation, and further work is required to support this idea (Landi et al. 1989). Methods for separation of selected from nonselected pollen and application of selected pollen grains to styles must be optimized (Bino and Stephenson 1988).

#### 5. Synopsis

Twelve years have elapsed since Mulcahy (1979) put forward the theory of gametophytic selection. Since that time information has accumulated supporting this view. While clear results are produced by evaluating sporophytic and gametophytic overlap through the study of isozymes, the definitive point was when Willing and Mascarenhas (1984) identified an approximately 60% over-

lap by nucleic acid hybridization. This is a solid starting point and molecular genetics should do much to enlighten our understanding of this process.

A wide correspondence has also been found between both phases in the response to a variety of external agents, mainly temperature, salinity, metals, herbicides, fungal toxins, and other toxic compounds. The fact that this external environment may influence selection not only at the sporophytic but also at the gametophytic level may be important for a better understanding of the evolutionary process in higher plants.

The impact of gametophytic selection in plant breeding is still small and this may be partly due to difficulties in the manipulation of the reproductive process. Further research in this field may overcome these difficulties and may also clarify how gametophytic selection operates *in vivo*. It appears to be a promising and especially valuable field, either where large-scale screening is required or in woody perennial species where selection procedures limit practical plant breeding.

Gametophytic selection appears undoubtedly to be an exciting subject, where molecular genetics and a better understanding of the physiological mechanisms that regulate it will open new avenues of research.

*Acknowledgements.* We wish to thank Prof. D. L. Mulcahy and K. Pinney for their helpful review of the manuscript. We also acknowledge the U.S.-Spain Joint Committee for Scientific and Technological Cooperation and INIA for financial support.

## 6. Literature

- Barnabás B, Kovács G (1988) Perspectives of pollen and male gamete selection in cereals. In: Wilms HJ, Keijzer CJ (eds) Plant sperm cells as emerging tools for crop biotechnology. Pudoc, Wageningen, The Netherlands, pp 137–147
- Bino RJ, Stephenson AG (1988) Selection and manipulation of pollen and sperm cells. In: Wilms HJ, Keijzer CJ (eds) Plant sperm cells as emerging tools for crop biotechnology. Pudoc, Wageningen, The Netherlands, pp 125–135
- Bino RJ, Hille J, Franken J (1987) Kanamycin resistance during *in vitro* development of pollen from transgenic tomato plants. *Plant Cell Rep* 6: 333–336
- Bino RJ, Franken J, Van der Zeeuw E (1988a) Methods of development for applying pollen selection in *Cucumber* breeding programmes: effects of centrifugation on pollen competence. In: Cresti M, Gori P, Pacini E (eds) Sexual reproduction in higher plants. Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York, pp 27–32
- Bino RJ, Franken J, Witsenboer HMA, Hille J, Dons JJM (1988b) Effects of *Alternaria alternata* f. sp. *lycopersici* toxins on pollen. *Theor Appl Genet* 76(2): 204–208
- Davis LE, Stephenson AG, Winsor JA (1987) Pollen competition improves performance and reproduction output of the common zucchini squash under field conditions. *Proc Am Soc Hortic Sci* 112(4): 712–716
- Den Nijs APH, Maisonneuve B, Hogenboom NG (1986) Pollen selection in breeding glasshouse tomatoes for low energy conditions. In: Mulcahy DL, Mulcahy G, Ottaviano E (eds) Biotechnology and ecology of pollen. Springer, New York Berlin Heidelberg Tokyo, pp 125–130
- Dungey SG, Sang JP, Rothnie NE, Palmer MV, Burke DG, Knox RB, Williams EG, Hilliard EP, Salisbury PA (1988) Glucosinolates in the pollen of rapeseed and indian mustard. *Phytochemistry* 27(3): 815–818
- Eisikowitch D, Woodell SRJ (1975) Some aspects of pollination ecology of *Armeria maritima* in Britain. *New Phytol* 74: 307–322
- Epperson BK, Clegg MT (1987) First pollination primacy and pollen selection in the morning glory, *Ipomoea purpurea*. *Heredity* 58(1): 5–14
- Evans DE, Rothnie NE, Palmer MV, Burke DG, Sang JP, Knox RB, Williams EG, Hilliard EP, Salisbury PA (1987) Comparative analysis of fatty acids in pollen and seed of rapeseed. *Phytochemistry* 26(7): 1895–1898
- Evans DE, Rothnie NE, Sang JP, Palmer MV, Mulcahy DL, Singh MB, Knox RB (1988) Correlations between gametophytic (pollen) and sporophytic (seed) generation for polyunsaturated fatty acids in oilseed rape *Brassica napus* L. *Theor Appl Genet* 76(3): 411–419
- Feder WA (1986) Predicting species response to ozone using a pollen screen. In: Mulcahy DL, Mulcahy G, Ottaviano E (eds) Biotechnology and ecology of pollen. Springer, New York Berlin Heidelberg Tokyo, pp 89–94
- Feder WA, Sullivan F (1969) Differential susceptibility of pollen grains to ozone injury. *Phytopathology* 59: 399
- Frankel R, Galun E (1977) Pollination mechanisms, reproduction and plant breeding. Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York
- Frova C, Binelli G, Ottaviano E (1986) Male gametophyte response to high temperature in maize. In: Mulcahy DL, Mulcahy G, Ottaviano E (eds) Biotechnology and ecology of pollen. Springer, New York Berlin Heidelberg Tokyo, pp 33–38
- Frova C, Taramino G, Binelli G (1989) Heat-shock proteins during development in maize. *Dev Genet* 10: 324–332
- Frova C, Taramino G, Ottaviano E (1991) Sporophytic and gametophytic heat shock protein synthesis in *Sorghum bicolor*. *Plant Sci* 73: 35–44
- Herpen MMA van, Reijnen WH, Schrauwen JAM, De Groot PFM, Wullems GJ (1988) Heat shock proteins in germinating pollen of *Nicotiana tabacum* before and after heat shock. In: Cresti M, Gori P, Pacini E (eds) Sexual reproduction in higher plants. Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York, pp 277–282
- Herpen MMA van, Reijnen WH, Schrauwen JAM, De Groot PFM, Jager JWH, Wullems GJ (1989) Heat shock proteins and survival of germinating pollen of *Lilium longiflorum* and *Nicotiana tabacum*. *J Plant Physiol* 134: 345–351
- Herrero M, Arbeloa A (1989) Influence of the pistil on pollen tube kinetics in peach (*Prunus persica*). *Am J Bot* 76(10): 1441–1447
- Heslop-Harrison J (1979) The forgotten generation: some thoughts on the genetics and physiology of angiosperm gametophytes. In: Davies DR, Hopwood DA (eds) The plant genome, 4th edn. J Innes Symp, Norwich, England, pp 1–14
- Hill JP, Lord EM (1987) Dynamics of pollen tube growth in the wild radish *Raphanus raphanistrum* (Brassicaceae). II. Morphology cytochemistry and ultrastructure of transmitting tissues, and path of pollen tube growth. *Am J Bot* 74(7): 988–997
- Hodgkin T (1987) A procedure suitable for *in vitro* pollen selection in *Brassica oleracea*. *Euphytica* 36: 153–159
- Hodgkin T (1988) *In vitro* pollen selection in *Brassica napus* L. In: Cresti M, Gori P, Pacini E (eds) Sexual reproduction in

- higher plants. Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York, pp 57–62
- Hodgkin T (1990) In vitro pollen selection in *Brassica napus* L. for resistance to phytotoxic compounds from *Alternaria brassicicola* (Schw.) Wilts. Sex Plant Reprod 3:116–120
- Hodgkin T, Mc Donald MV (1986) The effect of a phytotoxin from *Alternaria brassicicola* on *Brassica* pollen. New Phytol 104:631–636
- Hoekstra FA (1983) Physiological evolution in angiosperms pollen: possible role of pollen vigour. In: Mulcahy DL, Ottaviano E (eds) Pollen: biology and implications for plant breeding. Elsevier, New York Amsterdam Oxford, pp 35–41
- Holub Z, Zelenakova E (1986) Tolerance of reproduction processes of woods to the influence of heavy metals. Ekologia 5(1):81–90
- Johnson CM, Mulcahy DL (1978) Male gametophyte in maize. 2. Pollen vigor in inbred plants. Theor Appl Genet 51:211–215
- Kanchan S, Jayachandra (1980) Pollen allelopathy – a new phenomenon. New Phytol 84:739–746
- Knox RB (1984) The pollen grain. In: Johri BM (ed) Embryology of angiosperms. Springer, New York, pp 197–271
- Ladyman JAR, Taylor RE (1988)  $^{31}\text{P}$  and  $^1\text{H}$ NMR as a non-destructive method for measuring pollen viability. In: Cresti M, Gori P, Pacini E (eds) Sexual reproduction in higher plants. Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York, pp 69–74
- Landi P, Frascaroli E, Tuberosa R, Conti S (1989) Comparison between responses to gametophytic and sporophytic recurrent selection in maize (*Zea mays* L.). Theor Appl Genet 77:761–767
- Laughnan JR, Gabay SJ (1973) Reaction of germinating maize pollen to *Helminthosporium maydis* pathotoxins. Crop Sci 13:681–684
- Lee TD (1984) Patterns of fruit maturation: a gametophyte competition hypothesis. Am Nat 123:427–432
- Linskens HF, Campbell RJ (1984) Selection of gametes. In: Lange W, Zeven AC, Hogenboom NC (eds) Efficiency in plant breeding. Proc 10th Congr Eucarpia. Pudoc, Wageningen, The Netherlands, pp 176–177
- Luza JG, Polito VS, Weinbaum SA (1987) Staminate bloom date and temperature responses of pollen germination and tube growth in two walnut (*Juglans*) species. Am J Bot 74(12):1898–1903
- Maisonneuve B, Den Nijs APM (1984) In vitro pollen germination and tube growth of tomato (*Lycopersicon sculentum* Mill.) and its relation with plant growth. Euphytica 33:833–840
- Marien JN (1988) Breeding for frost resistance in *Eucalyptus* using pollen selection: screening on viability using flow-cytometry. In: Cresti M, Gori P, Pacini E (eds) Sexual reproduction in higher plants. Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York, pp 51–55
- Mascarenhas JP (1989) The male gametophyte of flowering plants. The Plant Cell 1:657–664
- Mascarenhas JP (1990) Gene activity during pollen development. Annu Rev Plant Physiol Plant Mol Biol 41:317–338
- Mascarenhas JP, Altschuler M (1983) The response of pollen to high temperatures and its potential applications. In: Mulcahy DL, Ottaviano E (eds) Pollen: biology and implications for plant breeding. Elsevier, New York Amsterdam Oxford, pp 3–8
- Mascarenhas NT, Bashe D (1984) Messenger RNA in corn pollen and protein synthesis during germination and pollen tube growth. Theor Appl Genet 68:323–326
- Mascarenhas JP, Stinson JJ, Willing RP, Pè ME (1986) Genes and their expression in the male gametophyte of flowering plants. In: Mulcahy DL, Mulcahy G, Ottaviano E (eds) Biotechnology and ecology of pollen. Springer, New York Berlin Heidelberg Tokyo, pp 39–44
- Mascarenhas JP, Hamilton DA, Bashe DM (1989) Genes expressed during pollen development. In: Lord E, Bernier G (eds) Plant reproduction: from floral induction to pollination, Vol. I. Am Soc Plant Physiol Symp Ser, pp 108–113
- Mazer SJ, Snow AA, Stanton ML (1986) Fertilization dynamics and parental effects upon fruit development in *Raphanus raphanistrum*: consequences for seed size variation. Am J Bot 73:500–511
- Mc Kenna MA, Mulcahy DL (1983) Ecological aspects of gametophytic competition in *Dianthus chinensis*. In: Mulcahy DL, Ottaviano E (eds) Pollen: biology and implications for plant breeding. Elsevier, New York Amsterdam Oxford, pp 419–424
- Meinke DW (1982) Embryo-lethal mutants of *Arabidopsis thaliana*: evidence for gametophytic expression of the mutant genes. Theor Appl Genet 63:381–386
- Miller JC, Mulcahy DL (1983) Microelectrophoresis and the study of genetic overlap. In: Mulcahy DL, Ottaviano E (eds) Pollen: biology and implications for plant breeding. Elsevier, New York Amsterdam Oxford, pp 317–321
- Mulcahy DL (1971) A correlation between gametophytic and sporophytic characteristics in *Zea mays* L. Science 171:1155–1156
- Mulcahy DL (1974) Correlation between speed of pollen tube growth and seedling weight in *Zea mays* L. Nature 249:491–493
- Mulcahy DL (1979) The rise of angiosperms: a geneological factor. Science 206:20–23
- Mulcahy DL (1984) Manipulation of gametophytic populations. In: Langel W, Zeven AC, Hogenboom NG (eds) Efficiency in plant breeding. Proc 10th Congr Eucarpia. Pudoc, Wageningen, The Netherlands, pp 167–175
- Mulcahy DL, Kaplan SM (1979) Mendelian ratios despite non-random fertilization? Am Nat 113:419–425
- Mulcahy DL, Mulcahy GB (1975) The influence of gametophytic competition on sporophytic quality in *Dianthus chinensis*. Theor Appl Gen 46:277–280
- Mulcahy DL, Mulcahy GB (1987) The effects of pollen competition. Am Sci 75:44–50
- Mulcahy DL, Mulcahy GB, Robinson RW (1979) Evidence for postmeiotic genetic activity in pollen of *Cucurbita* species. J Hered 70:365–368
- Mulcahy DL, Curtis PS, Snow AA (1983) Pollen competition in natural populations. In: Jones CE, Little RJ (eds) Handbook of experimental pollination biology. Van Nostrand Reinhold, New York, pp 330–337
- Mulcahy DL, Mulcahy GB, Popp R, Fong N, Pallais N, Kalinowski A, Marien JN (1988) Pollen selection for stress tolerance or the advantage of selecting before pollination. In: Cresti M, Gori P, Pacini E (eds) Sexual reproduction in higher plants. Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York, pp 43–50
- Mulinix CA, Iezzoni AF (1988) Microgametophytic selection in two alfalfa (*Medicago sativa* L.) clones. Theor Appl Genet 75(6):917–922
- Ottaviano E, Mulcahy DL (1986) Gametophytic selection as a factor of crop plant evolution. In: Barigozzi C (ed) The origin and domestication of cultivated plants. Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp 101–120
- Ottaviano E, Mulcahy DL (1989) Genetics of angiosperm pollen. Adv Genet 26:1–64
- Ottaviano E, Sari-Gorla M, Mulcahy DL (1980) Pollen tube rates in *Zea mays*: implications for genetic improvement of crops. Science 210:437–438



- Ottaviano E, Sari-Gorla M, Pè E (1982) Male gametophytic selection in maize. *Theor Appl Genet* 63:249–254
- Ottaviano E, Petroni D, Pè ME (1988a) Gametophytic expression of genes controlling endosperm development in maize. *Theor Appl Genet* 75:252–258
- Ottaviano E, Sari-Gorla M, Frova C, Pè E (1988b) Male gametophytic selection in higher plants. In: Cresti M, Gori P, Pacini E (eds) Sexual reproduction in higher plants. Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York, pp 35–42
- Ottaviano E, Sari-Gorla M, Villa M (1988c) Pollen competitive ability in maize: within population variability and response to selection. *Theor Appl Genet* 76(4):601–608
- Ottaviano E, Sari-Gorla M, Mulcahy DL (1990) Pollen selection: efficiency and monitoring. In: Ogita ZI, Market CL (eds) Isozymes: structure, function, and use in biology and medicine. Wiley-Liss, New York, pp 575–588
- Pedersen S (1988) Pollen competition in barley. *Hereditas* 109(1):75–82
- Pedersen S, Simonsen V, Loeschcke V (1987) Overlap of gametophytic and sporophytic gene expression in barley. *Theor Appl Genet* 75(1):200–206
- Polito VS, Luza JG, Weinbaum SA (1988) Differential low temperature germination responses by pollen of *Pistacia vera* clones with different bloom dates. *Sci Hortic* 35:269–274
- Rajora OP, Zsuffa L (1986) Sporophytic and gametophytic gene expression in *Populus deltoides* Marsh, *Populus nigra* L. and *Populus maximowiczii* Henry. *Can J Genet Cytol* 28:476–482
- Raman K, Walden DB, Greyson RI (1980) Fertilization in *Zea mays* by cultured gametophytes. *J Hered* 71:311–314
- Robert T, Sarr A, Pernes J (1989) Sélections sur la phase haploïde chez le Mil [*Pennisetum typhoides* (Burm.) Stapf et Hubb]: effet de la température. *Genome* 32:946–952
- Rodríguez-Garay B, Barrow JR (1988) Pollen selection for heat tolerance in cotton. *Crop Sci* 28(5):857–859
- Sacher RF, Mulcahy DL, Staples RC (1983) Developmental selection during self pollination of *Lycopersicon* × *Solanum* F<sub>1</sub> for salt tolerance of F<sub>2</sub>. In: Mulcahy DL, Ottaviano E (eds) Pollen: biology and implications for plant breeding. Elsevier, New York Amsterdam Oxford, pp 329–334
- Sari-Gorla M, Rovida E (1980) Competition ability of maize pollen. Intergametophytic effects. *Theor Appl Genet* 57:37–41
- Sari-Gorla M, Frova C, Binelli G, Ottaviano E (1986a) The extent of gametophytic-sporophytic gene expression in maize. *Theor Appl Genet* 72:42–47
- Sari-Gorla M, Frova C, Redaelli E (1986b) Extent of gene expression at the gametophytic phase in maize. In: Mulcahy DL, Mulcahy G, Ottaviano E (eds) Biotechnology and ecology of pollen. Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York, pp 27–32
- Sari-Gorla M, Mulcahy DL, Gianfranceschi L, Ottaviano E (1988) Gametophytic selection for salt tolerance. *Genet Agrar* 42:92–93
- Sari-Gorla M, Ottaviano E, Frascaroli E, Landi P (1989) Herbicide-tolerant corn by pollen selection. *Sex Plant Reprod* 2:65–69
- Sarr A, Sandmeier M, Pernes J (1988) Gametophytic competition in pearl millet, *Pennisetum typhoides* (Stapf et Hubb). *Genome* 30(6):924–929
- Schlichting CD, Stephenson AG, David LD, Winsor JA (1987) Pollen competition and offspring variance. *Evol Trends Plants* 1(1):35–40
- Schwartz D (1971) Genetic control of alcohol dehydrogenase; a competition model for regulation of gene action. *Genetics* 67:411–425
- Schwartz D, Osterman J (1976) A pollen selection system for alcohol-dehydrogenase negative mutants in plants. *Genetics* 83:63–65
- Searcy KB, Mulcahy DL (1985a) The parallel expression of metal tolerance in pollen and sporophytes of *Silene dioica* (L.) Clairv., *Silene alba* (Mill.) Krause and *Mimulus guttatus* DC. *Theor Appl Genet* 69:597–602
- Searcy KB, Mulcahy DL (1985b) Pollen tube competition and selection for metal tolerance in *Silene dioica* (Caryophyllaceae) and *Mimulus guttatus* (Scrophulariaceae). *Am J Bot* 72(11):1695–1699
- Searcy KB, Mulcahy DL (1985c) Pollen selection and the gametophytic expression of metal tolerance in *Silene dioica* (Caryophyllaceae) and *Mimulus guttatus* (Scrophulariaceae). *Am J Bot* 72(11):1700–1706
- Searcy KB, Mulcahy DL (1986) Gametophytic expression of heavy metal tolerance. In: Mulcahy DL, Mulcahy G, Ottaviano E (eds) Biotechnology and ecology of pollen. Springer, New York Berlin Heidelberg Tokyo, pp 159–164
- Searcy KB, Mulcahy DL (1990) Comparison of the response to aluminum toxicity in gametophyte and sporophyte of four tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.) cultivars. *Theor Appl Genet* 80:289–295
- Shivanna N, Shivanna KR (1985) The role of the pistil in screening compatible pollen. *Theor Appl Gen* 70:684–686
- Simon CJ, Sandford JC (1986) Induction of gametic selection “in situ” by stylar application of selective agents. In: Mulcahy DL, Mulcahy G, Ottaviano E (eds) Biotechnology and ecology of pollen. Springer, New York Berlin Heidelberg Tokyo, pp 107–112
- Smith GA (1986) Sporophytic screening and gametophytic verification of phytotoxin tolerance in sugarbeet (*Beta vulgaris* L.). In: Mulcahy DL, Mulcahy G, Ottaviano E (eds) Biotechnology and ecology of pollen. Springer, New York Berlin Heidelberg Tokyo, pp 83–88
- Smith GA, Moser HS (1985) Sporophytic-gametophytic herbicide tolerance in sugarbeet. *Theor Appl Genet* 71:231–237
- Snow AA (1986) Pollination dynamics in *Epilobium canum* (Onagraceae): consequences for gametophytic selection. *Am J Bot* 73:139–151
- Stephenson RB, Bertin RI (1983) Male competition, female choice and sexual selection in plants. In: Real L (ed) Pollination biology. Academic Press, New York, pp 110–149
- Stinson JR, Eisenberg AJ, Willing RP, Pè ME, Hanson DD, Mascarenhas JP (1987) Genes expressed in the male gametophyte of flowering plants and their isolation. *Plant Physiol* 83:442–447
- Tanksley SD, Zamir D, Rick CM (1981) Evidence for extensive overlap of sporophytic and gametophytic gene expression in *Lycopersicon esculentum*. *Science* 213:453–455
- Ter-Avanesian DV (1978) The effect of varying the number of pollen grains used in fertilization. *Theor Appl Genet* 52:77–79
- Ursin VM, Yamaguchi J, McCormick S (1989) Gametophytic and sporophytic expression of anther-specific genes in developing tomato anthers. *The Plant Cell* 1:727–736
- Waser NM, Pryce MC, Montalvo AM, Gray RN (1987) Female mate choice in a perennial herbaceous wildflower, *Delphinium nelsonii*. *Evol Trends Plants* 1(1):29–33
- Weeden NF (1986) Identification of duplicate loci and evidence for postmeiotic gene expression in pollen. In: Mulcahy DL, Mulcahy B, Ottaviano E (eds) Biotechnology and ecology of pollen. Springer, New York Berlin Heidelberg Tokyo, pp 9–14
- Weinbaum SA, Parfitt DE, Polito VS (1984) Differential cold sensitivity of pollen grain germination in two *Prunus* species. *Euphytica* 33:419–426

- Wendel JF, Edwards MD, Stuber CW (1987) Evidence for multilocus genetic control of preferential fertilization in maize. *Heredity* 58: 297–301
- Willing RP, Mascarenhas JP (1984) Analysis of the complexity and diversity of mRNAs from pollen and shoots of *Tradescantia*. *Plant Physiol* 75: 865–868
- Willing RP, Bashe D, Mascarenhas JP (1988) An analysis of the quantity and diversity of messenger RNA from pollen and shoots of *Zea mays*. *Theor Appl Genet* 75(5): 751–753
- Willson MF, Burley N (1983) Mate choice in plants: tactics, mechanisms and consequences. *Monogr Popul Biol* No. 19, Princeton University Press, Princeton/NJ
- Winsor JA, Davis LE, Stephenson AG (1987) The relationship between pollen load and fruit maturation and the effect of pollen load in offspring vigor in *Cucurbita pepo*. *Am Nat* 129: 643–656
- Xiao CM, Mascarenhas JP (1985) High temperature-induced thermotolerance in pollen tubes of *Tradescantia* and heat-shock proteins. *Plant Physiol* 78: 887–890
- Zamir D (1983) Pollen gene expression and selection: applications in plant breeding. In: Tanksley SD, Orton TS (eds) *Isozymes in plant genetics and breeding, Part A*. pp 313–329
- Zamir D, Gadish I (1987) Pollen selection for low temperature adaptation in tomato. *Theor Appl Genet* 74(5): 545–548
- Zamir D, Vallejos EC (1983) Temperature effects on haploid selection of tomato microspores and pollen grain. In: Mulcahy DL, Ottaviano E (eds) *Pollen: biology and implications for plant breeding*. Elsevier, New York Amsterdam Oxford, pp 335–342
- Zamir D, Tanksley SD, Jones RA (1981) Low temperature effect on selection fertilization by pollen mixtures of wild and cultivated tomato species. *Theor Appl Genet* 59: 235–238
- Zamir D, Tanksley SD, Jones RA (1982) Haploid selection for low temperature tolerance of tomato pollen. *Genetics* 101: 129–137